To the Last Drop: The Political Economy of Philippine Water Laws

Rosalie Arcala Hall University of the Philippines Visayas

The Philippine Water-scape

water as a policy area features institutions and rules that are multi-layered, complex and fragmented

- 30 agencies (national and LGU based) managing water resource + communal and private entities
- mandates cover water supply, irrigation, hydropower, water quality and quantity, watershed management, health and sanitation, flood management, cloud seeding
- high transaction cost; localized and politically-contingent



Goals

- * map terrain of state/local, formal/informal authority over water
- caveat: only demand side management; have data on seasonality, physical scarcity and quality from water managers' survey
- describe results of local water managers' survey to surface their grounding on water laws and conflict experiences
- compare 5 cases of local water conflict to illustrate gaps/disconnects between water law and practice

Water Contestation: Contexts

- formalization and pre-existing traditional/customary norms; significant informal water economy (self-provisioning)
- * strong legal basis but weak state presence
- * decentralization
- water uses



Locating the state, market and local players in water laws

- wide array of legislations pertaining to water; creating new institutions or mandates to existing institutions; addition but no discarding of old ones
- from decentralized, municipal based waterworks to centralized models (NWRB, NIA, LWUA); prominent economic role for government
- property rights to water- by franchise or permits; state versus commons versus private; state-biased tenure status of water resources

Locating the state, market and local players in water laws

- decentralization again -municipal & barangay LGU manage their own water supply systems; delegated responsibility; accountability
- linking water with forest, pollution, flooding, health safety
- from state involvement to market-oriented reforms (including privatization, performance benchmarks for water districts, allowing more competitor water service providers)

_			
-			
-			
-			
-			
_			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			
Ī			
-			
-			
-			
-			
-			

	Potential Flashpoints	
*	competing property rights to water	
*	transboundary issues differentiated effects of water market reforms on	-
	various groups (socio-economic marginalization, inequality)	-
	inequality	-
	What water managers think	
*	weak grounding on water laws; largely unaware of legal link between water laws and laws	
*	pertaining to land use and forests local ordinances do not provide for private water	
	rights but collective in form	
*	correctly identified domestic and irrigation as priority sectors for users; equity as topmost	
	consideration for allocation	
	What water managers think	
*	internal conflicts and LGU resolution mechanisms; reliance on non-legal processes	
	(negotiation and settlement) to end conflict	
*	customary/ traditional practices has little resonance	
*	no legally specified mechanism for resolving	
	trans-boundary conflicts	

Water conflict in five settings

- contested property rights assignment to water between a local water district and LGU (Bukidnon)
- contested land rights to a watershed between the city government and indigenous families (Cordilleras);
- LGU leveraging authority to issue permit (excavation) to exact side payment from water district for maintenance of springs located within LGU territory (Iloilo)
- conflict between community and local government over long-term bulk water distribution contract given to a private concessionaire (Laguna)
- conflict between NIA and local mining board over impact of small scale sand mining activities close to irrigation dam (Laguna)

Insights

- * at the local level, the language of "rights" not useful in contestation--not well-defined; state agents exclusively claiming state rights; trumped by legitimacy card (community interest)
- conflict expansion- state regulator-ally fighting for their client sectors; water district elevating concerns to OGCC but LGUs do not recognise ruling (resort to alternate means of contestation)
- * water reform/shifts in policy focus through negotiation

Conclusion

- new mandates and more agencies dealing with water and its connection to land use and forest but nonexistent or weak inter-government agency linkages
- locate responsibilities to local bodies but no corresponding rights-transfer
- water managers prefer informal venues and negotiations to address conflict rather than going to NWRB or OGCC for "rights" assertion